WO

2 3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Cinnamon Rose Prowell, No. CV-04-1559-PHX-DGC Plaintiff, **ORDER** VS. Kennedy Restaurant & Bar Management, Inc; and Seven Vines, Inc., dba Vine Tavern & Eatery, Defendants.

Defendants have filed a motion for reconsideration regarding the Court's May 3, 2006 order denying in part Defendant's motion for summary judgment. Doc. #51; see Doc. #49. For the reasons set forth below, the Court will deny the motion.

In its May 3 order, the Court noted that Defendants did not address Plaintiff's argument that her reassignment to less desirable shifts constitutes a tangible employment action. Doc. #49 at 3 n.2. Defendants draw the Court's attention to the section of their reply brief that addressed whether Defendants acted reasonably in investigating Plaintiff's complaint of harassment. Doc. #51 at 1 (citing Doc. #48 at 7). Defendants point out that they argued that Plaintiff was compensated more in the pay period following her complaint than in previous pay periods. *Id.* (citing Docs. ##48 at 7, 44 Ex. L).

Defendants, however, made this argument to show that Plaintiff's reassignment was a reasonable response to her complaint of harassment; Defendants never argued that the

## reassignment does not constitute a tangible employment action. Rather, Defendants focused on Plaintiff's termination, contending that it was not a tangible employment action within the meaning of Faragher and Ellerth. See Docs. ##43 at 7, 48 at 4. Moreover, the evidence, construed in Plaintiff's favor, shows that Plaintiff was reassigned to less desirable shifts. Plaintiff's paycheck dated October 25, 2002 – the date she was reassigned – shows that she earned about \$8.52 per hour. Doc. #44 Ex. L. Plaintiff's next (and last) paycheck shows that she earned about \$5.69 per hour. *Id*. IT IS ORDERED that Defendants' motion for reconsideration (Doc. #51) is denied. DATED this 11th day of May, 2006. Daniel G. Campbell David G. Campbell United States District Judge

Case 2:04-cv-01559-DGC Document 52 Filed 05/11/06 Page 2 of 2